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## 1. DEMOGRAPHIC CONTEXT

DeWitt Clinton High School, a specialized boys' school, is located in District 10 in the North Bronx. The Bronx has a rapidly growing Hispanic population and the highest percentage of Hispanic students (45.2\%) of any borough in New York City. Of the students currently enrolled (1979-1980) at DeWitt Clinton, $60 \%$ are two or more years below grade level in reading and $52 \%$ of the schoul population is of Hispanic background.

DeWitt Clinton draws much of its population from the North Bronx, because so much of the South Bronx has been destroyed by fire. The housing surrounding the school consists of predominantly large apartment buildings with a few private homes. The attendance area includes many welfare families, as well as some middle and very few upper ciass families. The ethnic composition the surrounding area is $50 \%$ Hispanic, $35 \%$ Black,

2\% Oriental and the rest is White.
A few patterns of language usage within the family and the community have affected the linguistic growth in English of Hispanic students attending DeWitt Clinton. Among Hispanic parents, the mother frequently does not speak or understand English. The father and siblings often speak and understand English with varying degrees of difficulty. The large number of Spanish speaking storekeepers and other businesses has often reduced the need to improve the Eng:ish skills of community members, since business transactions can be cunducted in Spanish.

The population of the DeWitt Clinton attendance area is relatively unstable and highly mobile. Population changes are the result of arson and the departure of white, middle class families. Families visit Puerto Rico and Santo Domingo while school is in session, thus contributing to student absenteeism. Some of these visits are due to iilnesses and deaths of relatives. In some instances, students and their families have returned to Puerto Ricc to take up permanent residence. Alcoholism, drug abuse and crime in the neighborhood have also inotivated families to move to better areas.

Many problems characterize the community and particuiariy affect students in the program. Among these problems, the following have been identified:

1. iow family income--the Annual School Census for 1978-1979 compiled by the Office of Educational Statistics identifies $60 \%$ of the pupils at DeWitt Clinton as low income;
2. broken, single parent homes;
3. after-school wirk by some students;
4. substandard housing and some families 7iving in abandoned buildings; crowded apartments make preparation of homewbrk difficult;
5. great distance from school for some students requiring several transfers and sometimes more than one hour of travel each way to get to sinool;
6. serious and chronic health problems (emotional, respiratory, and neurological) among some students and their families;
7. absenteeism from school in order to accompany parents or other relatives to social service agencies;
8. absenteeism from school to care for younger siblings;
9. drug and alcohol abuse in the school-community.

## II . STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

Students are identified as eligible for participation in the bilingual program if:

1. they score under the 21st percentile on the English part of the Language Assessment Battery (LAB) and higher than the English on the Spanish part;
2. parents and students request that students be in the program;
3. teachers and guidance counselors refer students to the bilingual program;
4. students' records reveal poor academic performance in English;
5. students are newly arrived from Spanish speaking countries;
6. a Hispanic student has specialieconomic problems and/or low self esteem.

The student population of the bilingual program was Hispanic, with the exception of one student who was born in Korea, but lived in Argentina fo- 14 years. The place of birth of the 197 students served by the program was as follows:


The range of Spanish literacy of students in the program was wide. Literacy ranged from below average to excellent. The range of English proficiency was also great--it ranged from below average, (where most students fell) to excellent (where very few students fell). Spanish is used at the homes of students $90 \%$ of the time. The target population is linguistically heterogeneous--some speak but cannot read Spanish; some speak but cannot read English; some speak both Spanish and English, but are poor readers in both languages; some function at normal to superior academic levels, while others need extensive individual tutoring.

## III. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The program at DeWitt Clinton was initially funded for school year 1978-1979 for four years. This report covers the second year of funding. The program was founded on the philosophy that cultural reinforcement, and the improvement of self-image and academic skills will
prepare LEP students to compete in an English speaking society. Selfimage is enhanced by the provision of a program which recognizes, accepts and reinforces ethnic identity. Academic skills will develop and increase if they are specifically reinforced by recognition, praise and reward. Students are reinforced for improvement in Spanish and English and for achievement in subject areas. Cooperative activities, such as peer tutoring and cultural trips, are' used as encouragement, while praise and prizes are used as reinforcements. This philosophy of positive reinforcement has been supported by the Principal:

The following c.art describes the organization of the program. It shows that the bilingual program at DeWitt Clinton is both unified and dispersed. It is unified, for all Title VII staff members (other than teaching staff) are supervised by the Project Director and are located in one area of the school. The program is dispersed since the instructional components for the subject areas located within the different departments are supervised by the various department chairpersons. Within this organization, the Project Director supervises the Title VII personnel with the occasional involvement of the Principal. The department chairpersons supervise the bilingual teachers with some assistance from the Foreign Language Chairperson and the Project Director. Reportedly, the bilingual program is favorably regarded by many teachers and administrators in the school. Some teachers have expressed their favorable impressions orally and in written form. The Administrative Assistant has made positive comments about the program, and has allocated more space to the program than it had the previous year.

CHATT 1
ORGANIZATITONAL CHART FOR BLLINGUAL PROGRAM AT

## DENITT CLINTON



The bilingual program, located within the DeWitt Clinton High School building has facilities which are now adequate. The facilities consist-of two maderate-sized rooms for the full-time use of the Title. VII persormel. One of these rooms is used for counselirg and secretarial work and the other as a rescurce room. In addition, a classroom has been provided for two-thirds of the day to be used for peer-tutoring, group counseiting and parent workshops.

## IV. INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT

The bilingual grade advisor or the bilingual counselor, who is also the. Project Director, develop students' programs in conference with individual students. Many factors are considered in programming: Among. these are: the student's proficiency in English and Spanish; his/her: academic ashievement in subject areas; the student's interests in elecives (art, music, science, social studies, electives, etc.); and graduation requirements:
$\because$
Programing is more uniform in ninth and tenth grades, with some individualization based on linguistic considerations. E?eventh and twelfth grade students have programs which are more individualized, since students' English proficiency is expected to have improved and, after completion of requirements for graduation, students have more flexibility in selecting electives. The difference in programs seceen grades depends primarily: upon the language proficiency of the student. Typical programs for grades 9 and 10 are presented in Table I.

TABLE I

TYPICAL PROGRAM FOR BILINGUAL STUDENTS IN
GRADES 9 AND 10

GRADE 9

1. ESL (Title I)
2. ESL (Titte I)
3. EF2 (English Back-up/Tax Levy)
4. Social Studies (Bilingual)

GRADE 10

1. English RIT (Title I Reading)
2. English UT or LT (English Back-up/ Tax Levy)
3. Social Studies (Bilingual)
4. Modified Math or Algebra (Bilingual)
5. Modified Math or Algebra ( $5:$ ? ingual)
6. Spanish (Native Speakers)
7. Health Education
8. Spanish (Native Speakers)
9. Health Education

The program for grade 9 is typical of a student of severely limited English proficiency. The student takes two periods of ESL and one of English back-up. All other subject areas are in Spanish. The student in the tenth grade, with more proficiency in English, takes two periods of English (Reading and Back-up), and all subject areas in Spanish, except science which is taught by an English monolingual teacher with the help of a bilingual educational associate.

Students are partially or fully mainstreamed according to the following criteria: a) reading level in English is at or above the ninth. grade; b) the LAB score in English is at or above the 21st percentile; c) the student desires to leave the bilingual program and the parents consent to it. Students might meet criteria $a$ and $b$, but they may remain partially in the program if they want/need the services.. : Some of these students are ased as role models for students in the program.

Parental consent is a principal factor in mainstreaming. When consulted, parents are usually amenable to mainstreaming, as are students. If a student is prematurely mainstreamed, he may be reinstituted in the program. For some students such requests for reinstatement stem from their desire to return to the "bicultural" aspects of the program, or for "sociaf" adjustment" reasons. For others, it represents an inability to profit from instruction conducted solely in Englisḥ. Reportedly, parents prefer that students continue to receive services from Title VII personnel, particulariy: tutoring and guidance/counseling. Parents consistently express à strong désire for follow-up services to mainstreamed students by the bilingual staff.

Such follow-up services to fully mainstreamed students are varied. Students: a) return voluntarily to visit the bilingual office and talk about their mainstream experience; b) are referred to the bilingual office when problems arise in their new academin programs; cl are sent for by the Project Director, Grade Advisor, or Family Assistant to informally talk about their academic and personal adjustment; d) are referred by the parents to consult with the bilingual staff. The bilingual staff periodically discusses recently mainstreamed students with their new teachers and counselors, and with the parents and students themselves.

Students leave the program for reasons other than mainstreaming. Table II presents the reasons for and number of students leaving the program during academic year 1979-1980.

## TABLE II

STJDENTS LEAVING: THE PROGRAM DURING
1979-1980 AND THEIR REASON FOR LEAVING

## REASON

Fully Mainstreamed Graduated
Dischiarged to : Special Ed. Discharged to Job Corps
Transferred to Another School.
Returned to Native Country
Removed by Parental Option
Discharged. Themselves (over 17 years of age)

Total
NUMBER OF STUDENTS

## 9

## 9

## - Discharged to Auxiliary Services

Forty-two students left the program during the 1979-1980 school year. Eighteen left due to mainstreaming and graduation. Eleven were discharged to alternative programs: three to Special Education, two to Job Corps, and six to Auxiliary Services. One student was removed by parental request and three students over 17 years of age requested to be discharged. Four students returned to their native country and five transferrec to another school. Since DeWitt Clinton High School is a non-zoned specialized (all boys) school, students have an option twice a year to transfer to a coed school in their own neighborhood. However, in the second year of the bilingual program, there was a dramatic reduction in the number of bilingual students transferring to another school when compared with that of previous years.

The following table presents the distribution of students in the program taking content areas in Spanish.

TABLE III

## DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS ACCORDING TO PERCENTAGE

 OF INSTRUCTION IN SPANISHSTUDENT CLASSIFICATION9th and Ioth grades
10th and 11th grades
PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS TAKINGCONTENT AREAS IN SRANISH.$95 \%$
Completed ESL ..... 33\%40\%

As the students' English proficiency improves, the need to take content area courses in Spanish decreases. According to Table III, most students in ninth and tenth grades (95\%) take their content areas in Spanish. Students in tenth and eleventh grades begin to be partially mainstreamed. Forty percent of the students in these two grades take their content. areas in Spanish. About one third of the students who have completed ESL ( $33 \%$ ) still take content areas in Spanish.

Twenty-four students ( $13 \%$ ) take all or most of their content area courses in English, but are still provided with supportive ser:ices by the program. There are two types of students in this categorv:

1. students who have mastered sufficient English for placement in the mainstream, but who for personal reasons need the supportive services of Spanish speaking personnel to facilitate and strengthen their adjustment;
2. students who have equal proficiency in Spanish and English but whose parents want them. to take content areas English, while improving their Spanish language ability and benefiting from services tó enhance cultural self-concept.

Funding Sources for the Instructional Component
In order to provide all the necessary instructional services, the bilingual program tapped several funding sources. The three principal sources during 1979-1980 were Tax Levy, ESEA Title I and ESEA Title VII. Title I was used for the English language instruction of students in ESL and Reading classes. Tax Levy funds were used for some ESL instruction and all content area instruction: native language, math, social studies, science, art, music, vocational education and physicà education. Title VII provided funds for the administration and supervision of the bilingual instructional component. In the following table, a description of the instructional component by funding source is presented.

## TABLE IV

INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT PERSONNEL BY FUNDING
SOURCE

i special feature of the instructional component is its reliance on individualized instruction. Individualized instruction is utilized in the bilingual peer-tutoring program and in the Title I English reading classes. A Title IV-C mini-grant for the next year has been ontained to provide funds for payment of bilingual peer-tutors.

This way more students will receive individualized instruction from paid tutors. Bilingual educational associates engage in daily individualized (one-to-one) instruction-in the classrooms, the office and the tutoring room. Pärents and community volunteers also provided individualized tutoring.

## Classes

Students in the program participated in blingual program classes as well as classes in the mainstream, monolingual. English program. The program of bilingual instruction included: the following:
a. English as a Second Language instruction with some students assigned additional ESL" periods depending on their English language proficiency;
b. Modified English and/or reading classes for all students receiving ESL instruction (Students not receiving ESL instruction aré assigñed to Titlé I reading classes and back-up Tax Levy English classes.);
c. Instruction in Spanish in mathematics, "science and social studies;
d. Content area cộurses in Englísh for students whose English lạnguagè competence was advanced;
e. Reading in Spanish with remedial Spanish reading availabie for students who areilliterate jn both languages;
f. Courses in Hispanic history and culture for all participating :students.

The following two tables preseni the program classes in which students were registered. All classes met fur five periods a week, except for ESL2 which met for ten periods per week. All classes were conducted by one teacher with a bilingual paraprofessional, except for ESL classes which were conduçed by two teachers and one paraprofessiona1. All classes were conducted in Spanish and English, with the exception of ESL and Spanish which were conducted entirely in EngTish and Spanish; respectively. All mainstream classes were concuiucted in English. The criteria for grouping in all classes were the student's grades and language ability. The ESL level for each student was determined by administering a teacheris made test and the Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST). The ratio nf oral Englisn to oral Spanisn in content area classes ranged from $20 \%$ to $80 \%$ depending upon the makeup of the class. The students' native culture was incluaed in tne nintin grade social studies class, focusing on Latin America witt specific emphäsis on the Caribbean.

BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS IN BILINGUAL CLASSES


BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS IN MAINSTREAM CLASSES

| Subject | Grade Leve] | \# of Students Registered | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Criteria } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { Selection } \end{aligned}$ | Other Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies 21 | 9 | 2 | very slow readers |  |
| Social Stúdies 2 M* | 9 | 1 | slow readers |  |
| Social Studies 2 | 9 | 2 | average readers |  |
| Social Studies 4M*: | 10 | 2 | slow students |  |
| Social Studies 4 | 10 | 3 | average readers |  |
| Social Studjes 5M* | 11,12 | 1 | slow readers | American History |
| Social Studies 6 | 11,12 | 2 | average readers | Economics |
| Social Studies 7M* | 11,12 | 4 | - slow readers | American History |
| Current Events | 11,12 | 4 | average readers | Elective |
| Math. Foundations F | 10 | 11 |  | Modified |
| Record Keeping 1 | 10 | 2 | follows FM 4 | Cormercial <br> Arithme_ic |
| Algebra A | ungraded | 4 | average students |  |
| Algebra $B$ | ungraded | 4 | average students | - - |
| Algebra C | ungraded | 6 | average students. |  |
| Geometry 1,2 | ungraded | 5 | average students |  |
| Math BCT | seniors | 1 | preparation for BCT |  |
| Math SAT 8 | seniors | $1{ }^{*}$ | preparation for SAT |  |
| Gral. Science 1 |  | 3 | average readers | Intro. to Biol. Sci . |
| Gral. Science 2 M* | 9 | ${ }^{1}$ | slow readers | Intro. to Phys.Sci. |
|  |  | 1 | average readers | Regents Biology |

BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS IN MAINSTREAM CLASSES:

| Subject | Grade level | \# of Students Registered | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Criteria } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { Selection } \end{aligned}$ | Other Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gral. Biology 2 | 10 | 1 | slow readers | Sex Education |
| Biology 3M* | -11,12 | 1 | slow readers | Modified |
| HeaTth Services | ungraded | 4 |  | Elective |
| Horticulture | ungraded | 3 |  | Elective |
| Earth Science 1 | ungraded | 1 | average readers | Elective |
| Earth Science 1M* | ungraded | 2 | slow readers | Elective |
| Animal Care 2 | ungraded | 1 | - | Elective |
| Chemistry 2 | - 11,12 | 2 | above avg. student |  |
| Physics 2 | 11,12 | 2 | above avg. 'student |  |
| E 2 RIT | ungraded. | 4. | Title I |  |
| $\because E 4$ RIT | ungraded | 17. | English Reading |  |
| "E6 RIT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ungraded |  | Classes for 9th. . |  |
| E 8 RIT | ungraded | 5 | 11th, 12th grades |  |
| E 2 LT | ungraded | $\therefore 3$ | Tax Levy | LT=Lower Level |
| E $2 . U T$ | ungraded | - 3 | Back-up | UT=Upper Level |
| E 4 LT | ungraded | 19 | for Title I |  |
| E 4 UT. | ungraded. | 3. | English |  |
| E6LT: | ungraded | $\cdots 19{ }^{\circ}$ | Reading |  |
| E6 UT | ungraded | 8 | Classes |  |
| E 8 UT | ungraded | 3 |  |  |

## TABLE VI Cont.

BREAKDOWN OF STUDENTS IN MAINSTREAM CLASSES



[^0]The two preceding tables are intended to illustrate the range of classes received by program students in both the bilingual and mainstream programs. In the bilingual mode, the concentration is on ESL instruction with back-up English reading provided by Title I or Tax Levy (see Mainstream Classes).

Most of the students in the program are enrolled in ESL classes. Bilingual instructors teach classes in mathematics and social studies. A monolingual instructor with the help of a bilingual paraprofessional, teaches the courses in science. All students in the program take courses in Spanish. The courses they take depend on their Spanish proficiency.

Some of the bilingual students who have sufficiently mastered: English are provided with instruction in the mainstream program. Some of the courses in social studies, math and ccience have been modified to take into account the varying linguistic abilities of the bilingual students.

## Tutoring

In addition to the regular classes provided in. the bilingual and monolingual mainstream modes, students in the bilingual program participate in a peer-tutoring program. This program provides individualized instruction to students who request it. The peer-tutoring program has been functioning 'for the last two years. During the 1979-1980 school year ten students served as tutors for the different skill areas in the curriculum. For the next year (1980-1981) a mini-grant was obtained to pay the bilingual peer tutors as an added reward to continue reinforcing the basic skills of those students in need.
V. THE NON-INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT

The students in the bilingual program at Dellitt Clinton High School also receive non-instructional services. These services range from the
development of bilingual curricula to counseling and home visits. The next table provides an overview of the funding sou ce and serivices provided by the non-instructional component at this site.

## TABLE VII

NON-INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT BY FUNDING * SOURCE AND PERSONNFL PROVIDING SERVICES

| Area | Funding Source | Personnel Providing Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curriculum Development | Title VII | 1 Social Studies Bil. Teacher |
| Supportive Services | Title VII | 1 Project Director  <br> 1 Grade Advisor Counseling and <br> 1 <br> Educational   |
| Staff <br> Development | Title VII | 1 Project Director <br> 1 Resource Teacher |
| Parental <br> Involvement | Title VII | 1 Family Assistant |

Each of these areas will be described separately in the following subsections.

## Curriculum and Materials Development

Though there were no funds for a Curriculum Developer for this program, curricula in different areas have been developed in the jast twc ears, mainly in the area of social studies, where a curriculum for Estudios Latinoamericanas was developed. This curriculum is a ninth grade, in-depih treatment. of geography vand history of Latin America with visual aids. During 19791980, the area of emphasis has been "Estudio Historico de las Minorías que Construyeron los Estados Unidos".' It is expected that this curriculum will be field tested during the 1980-1981 school year.

The bilingual mathematics classes used the bilingual curriculum and materials developed by the Brandeis High School bilingual program.

## Supportive Services

To complement the instruction provided to studeñts in the bilingual program at DeWitt Clinton High School, a series of activities were planned and carried out during the 1979-1980 school year. Some of these activities were cultural, others were career oriented and others were just informational. A list of these activities has been compiled and is presented under two categories: services to students from DeWitt Clinton, and services available from sources outside DeWitt Clinton.

[^1]The services provided to the bilingual students from outside DeWitt Clinton were the following:

1) Aspira Health Careers Program
a) Meeting with Mr: Nelson Vega, Health Careers Counselor of Aspira - October 11, 1979
b) Assembly on "Opportunities in Health Careers" November 20, 1979
About 90 students attended in various small groups. A lecture was given by Mr. Nelson Vega who: presented information on health careers; distributed cards for students' addresses and career interests; arranged personal interviews to do individual career counseling.
c) Individual career counseling with bilingual students
2) Latin American Institute - December 1979

Representative explained careers and opportunities availatle after graduation to about 110 bilingual students (during social studies classes)
3) After School Bilingual Shop Program at Samuel Gompers Vocational and Technical High School

Free Bilingual Shop Courses
Electrical Trades
Radio and T.V.: Repair
Computer Programming
Word Processing
Data Processing
Business Machine Repair
4) Bronx Career Center--Bronx Career and Occupational Services for students to use on different days.
5) Center for Career and Occupationa? Education

One student participated in the after school Occupational Skills Program
6) Aspira Annual Junior College Interview Meeting sponsored by Aspira - Columbia University, May 22, 1980, attended by 10 students.

Students also received supportive services from inside DeWitt Clinton during the 1979-1980 school year. Activities included the following:

1) Tutoring: (Briefly described in the Instructional Component section of this report.) Forty-five percent of the students in the program received tutoring offered by:
a) peers (supervised)
b) staff (paraprofessionals and teachers)
c) volunteers- -1 parent and 1 Lehman College student
d) "Big Brothers" tutors--for social studies
2) Individual Counseling:
a) educational counseling to $100 \%$ of the students
b) personal and social counseling to $35 \%$ of the students (family, emotional problems, etci.)
3). Group Guidance and Counseling:
a) group counseling to approximately $40 \%$ of the students
b) group guidance to almost $90 \%$ of the students
3) (Supervised) Peer Counseling to $20 \%$ of the students
4) Referral services to agencies and clinics for about $30 \%$ of the students (Puerto Rican Family Institute, Catholic Charities, Hospital Mental Health Clinics, etc.).
5) Three students to the Committee on the Handicapped for placement in Special Education Programs.
6) Referrals to/from ?itle I Counselor for Supportive Services (5\% of the students)
7) Assemblies:
Puerto Rican Discovery Day
Dominican Independence
Awards Assembly

November 1979 120 students atterded

February 27, 1980 120 students attended June 12, 1980 85 students attended

The bilingual students and the program staff went on several cuìtural trips during the 1979-1980 school year: All of these trips were offered as rewards to students who fulfilled behavioral contracts ! or had achieved in attendance or academic performance. The trips were the basis for discussions before and after the events, in the bilingual social studies classes and/or English as a Second Langùage classes.

The cultural trips are presented by semester. All students went on at least one trip during the year.

1. Fall 1979 Semester:

Museo del Barrio
Hispanic Society of America.
Museum of the American Indian
Numismatic Society
The First Hispanic World's Fair
2. Spring 1980 Semester:

Observation Deck of the Worid Trade Center Central Poli i.e Headquarters United Nations
Circus
Radio City Music Hall
New York Aquarium West Side Story

## Staff Development

Two types of staff development activities were provided during the 1979-1980 academic year. These may be categorized as workshops and staff meetings, and university courses. Each of these activities wil? be described in a separate subsection.

1) Workshops/Meetings for Staff Development:
a. Weekly meetings took place to discuss programatic activities and stúdents in general. Attendance: Staff and Title VII personnel.
b. Staff training was provided for teachers (50 hours) and paraprofessionals ( 50 hours) from September 1979 to May 1980. Among the topics covered were the following:
1. Counseling and guiding the bilingual student
2. Case studies of specific problem students
3. Reinforcement of Positive Behavior
4. Enhancement of Student Self-Concept
5. Improving the Image of the Bilingual Program in the School and the Community
6. Developing Curriculum
7. History of Bilingual Education
c. Training sessions for peer tutors and peer counselors
2) University Courses:

Title VII funded university courses for teachers and bilingual staff. The following table presents the courses taken by the bilingual teachers and staff during the $1975-1980$ school year.

TABLE VIII
UNIVERSITY COURSES TAKEN BY BILINGUAL
TEACHERS/STAFF DURING 1979-1980
$\because$


Table VIII illustrates that one bilingual teacher and most of the bilingual Title VII staff were taking courses at universities during the 1979-1980.school year. The universities they attended were: Teacher's College (Columbia University), CUNY, New York University and Lehman College: A total of 79 credits were earned during the school year, 38 credits in the fall and 41 in the spring., Courses dealt
with bilingual education, bilingualism, linguistics, culture and "nistory, supervision and administration, and child development.
3): Other Staff Development Activities:

- The Project Director, Resource Teacher, Family Asssistant and Grade Advisor are the four most important non-instructionat Dositions-funded by Title VII. The following lists cite conferences and other activities attended by these individuals. Unless otherwise indicated the Project : Director was the person attending the activity.
A. Conferences Attended by Project Director

The Profect Director attended the following conferences during 1979-1980.

1) The Third Annual Nationalitite VII Bilingual Management Institute Washington, D.C./ November 4-8, 1979 \%
2) The Third Annual Conference of the New York State Association for Risincual Enucation (SABE)
Kiamesha Lake, New York/ February 8-10, 1980
3) Thè Ninth Annual International Bilingual Bicultural Conference Anaheim, California/ April 18-23,1980
4) A Pilot Institute for Bilingual Education and Parental Involvement Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Lanauage Affairs Albuquerque, New Mexico / July 11-13,1980
(Also attended by a parent serving on the Title VII Parent Advisory Comittee of DeWitt Clinton High School)

## B. Workshops Attended by Proiect Director

The Title VII Project staff attended the following workshops during
1979-1980 (if no person is mentioned, the Project Director attended):

1) Eightiworkshop sessions at Wingate Teacher Training Institute, Wingate Bilingual Studies conciucted by George Adamovich, Project Director at Wingate High School"/.
October 11, 18, 25, November 1, 15, 29 and December 6, 13, 1979
2.): Seminars sponsored by the Aspira Mayor's Scholarship Program during the months of November, December, and. January (Financial Aid Workshap, T.A.P. Workshop, and "Special Programs". Seminars) attended by Resource Teacher
2) $\because$ Workshops in preparation for holiday seasons (activities and Tdeas that focus on munticultural Christmas celebration's), conducted by the Regional Bilingual Iraining Resource Center Title VII for Staff Development (l31 Livingston Street) attended by Resource Teacher / December 7, 1979
3) Title VII, ESEA Technical Assistance Wortshops, OBE (General presentations and small group sessions) Fordham University, Lincoln Center / January 15 and 16, 1980
5). The First Testing and Evaluation Conference in Bilingual Education (workshops on Evaluation Models), Office of Bilingual Education, Center for Assessment and Evaluation, Fordham University, Lincoln. Center / February 2, 1980.
4) Teaching ESL and Bilingual Education in a Multicultural Setting, Fordham University, Lincoln Center / May 10, 1980
c) Conference in which Project Director Collaborated Actively, and Served as Chairperson of Publicity Committee

First Annual Hispanic Parents ${ }^{r}$ Conference on Education Primera ConferenicaAnual Para Padres Hispanus Sobre Educación, Hunter College, June 7, 1980

## D. . Meetings Attended by Project Director (Outside of DeلWitt Clinton)

1) .Bronxwide Articulation Planning Committee for Title VII Directors and Assistant Principals in the Bronx, Lehman High School / October 10, 1979
2) Meeting of Title.VII Project Administrators, Bureau of Bilingual Education,
Two World Trade Center / October 26, 1979
3) Administrative Meeting for Title VII Project Directors
( 65 Court Street)/December 12,'1979 attended by Project Director and Resource Teacher
4) Meeting - "Other Than Personal Service (OTPS)

Policy and Procedures - FY 1980"
( 65 court Street)//February 20, 1980 attended by Project Director and Resource Teacher
5) Meetings to discuss ESEA, Titie VII Proposals, FY 1980-81
( 110 Livingston Street)/March 13 and May 13, 1980
6) Meetings ir preparation for First Annual Hispanic Parents Conference.-
ä) Conmittee Meeting(Organizational)
Hunter College / February 27, 1980
b) Cormittee Chairpersons meeting

Two World Trade Center / March 14, 1980
attended by Project Director, Resource Teacher and Family Assistant
c) Committee-Chairpersons Meeting

Two World Trade Center / May 28, 1980
7) Meeting/to Review the Instructions for Completing the 1979-80

Evaluation Reporting Forms ("Bubble Sheets")
(110 Livingston Street)/June 6, 1980

- attended by Project Director and Resource Teacher

8) Guidance Meeting and Luncheon (Bronx High School

Guidance Association)
Lehman College /June 11, 1980

## Parental and Community Involvement

The Bilingual Program at DeWitt Clinton High School has understood the necessity of involving the students'. parents in their children's education. This task has been a very difficult one; nevertheless the bilinqual staff has int. plemented several activities to strengthen this component.

The program has an active Parent Advisory Comittee which gives input. to the program director on programatic activities and policies, and helps in communicating information to other parents. The Advisory Committee is composed of six parents and four students who volunteered for these positions and were approved by the majority of the parents. This Committee met for the first time on October 27, 1979. Subsequent meetings took place on the last Tuesday of each' month during Novenber: January, February, March, April and May.

The Resource Teacher conducted parent workshops on English as a Second Language (ESL-). These workshops were offered twice a week as one and a half hour sessions on Tuesdaysand Thursdays. The ESL classes took place during the months of March, April and May, 1980. These classes were attended by an average of 12 to 18 parents.

Parents also received workshops on guidance. Two guidance workshops were conducted by the Project Director in March for a total of four hours. The objectives of these workshops were the fcllowing:
a. To understand school procedures
b. To assist their children in improving their academic achievement
c. To understand the importance of reading both iSpanish and English
d. To guide parents in achieving better cultural understanding for themselves and their children
e. To encourage parents to involve other parents

Parents were also involved in several cultural activities with their children. Among these activities were:
a) Open School Afternoon
b) Opeñ School Evening
C) Puerto Rican Discovery Day
d) Dominican Independence Day
e) Award Assembly

The Project Director chaired one of the organizational committees at the First Hispanic Parents Conference which took place on March 14, 1980. The Project Director and one parent attended the pilot Institute on Bilingual Education and Parental Involvement on July 11-13, 1980, in Albuquerque. They were the only representatives from New York City at this conference.

The Project Qirector and the Family Assistant identified-several factors which they feel affect parent participation in Dellitt Clinton. Amona these factors were:
a) availability of time for parents to attend activities
b) the necessity of reinforcing information: staff members have to keep in touch with parents, especially before scheduled meetings, to ensure attendarice.
The family assistant recommended that staff members be assigned to make contacts with parents. In addition, it was suggested that several parents cooperate in this task

## Affective Domain

The DeWitt Clinton bilingual program believes strongly in providing activities which will enhance the students" self-concept and will improve
their achievement in the subject areis. Throughout the year several activities which involved the affective domain were carried out by the bilingual program. A list of the most significant ones has been compiled and are presented below:

1) Students collected and contributed money to help the people affected by Hurricane David in the Dominican Republic in September, 1979.
2) Graduated students sent letters of appreciation to project staff and teachers.
3) During the fall, 1979, studerits signed contracts for attendance and conduct. Students were rewarded with tickets to the circus, the theatre; etc.
4) Students in the program received awards and honors, as described below:

Three students were accepted by Arista, the National Honor Society.
Two students received cash awards for "Excellence in Bilingua? Studies" (at graduation).
Four students received awards for "Outstanding Contribution and Service to the Bilingual Program" (at graduation).

One student received the "Eileen Blatt Memorial Award" (at graduation).
One student received the "G.O. Fiedal for Excellence in English as a Second Language" iat graduation).

Two students received the "G.O. Gold Medal for Excellence in Spanish Four Years (Native Group)", (at graduation).

One student received award for "Excellence in Horticulture" (at graduation).

Twelve students received awards--Certificates of Merit-for Outstanding Progress in English as a Second
Language.
One student receive a special letter from the principal for "demonstrating wonderful personal qualities and concern for the school."

One student was named to the Chancellor's Roll of Honor.
Fourteen students were awarded scholarship cards and placed on the academic honor roll for having attained averages of $85 \%$ and above.
5) Nine students were graduated during the 1979-1980 school year. Two graduates received scholarships (one to Colgate University and one to Baruch College).
6) High percentages of bilingual students had missed four days of school or less during the school year.
7) Only 19 bilingual students dropped out of school during 1979-1980 as compared to over 50 students who dropped out during the 19781979 school year.
8) Only two students were suspended this year. This figure is very low when compared to the previous year.
9) Students have stated that they receive more attention in the Title VII bilingual program than in the regular (mainstream) program.

## Dissemination

Several channels have been used to disseminate information about the activities of this bilingual program. Two such channels have included: visits to junior high schools in the Bronx, and the bilingual newsletters.

## 1) Visits to junior high schools in the Bronx

Over 15 junior high schools were visited by the Title VII staff during the months of February, March and April 1980. Siides were shown, bilingual newsletters and flyers were distributed, the Title VII program and other school programs and activities were described, and questions were answered.
2) Two bilingual newsletters (Noticias Bilingües) were written, printed and disseminated. These were:
a) hand distributed to all faculty members, administration and Title VII students at DeWitt Clinton High School;
b) mailed to other Title VII programs in New York City;
c) mailed to administrative offices in New York City, i.e. Bronx Superintendent, Office of Bilingual Education, High School Cffice at Board of Education, community agencies;
d) mailed to all junior high schools in the Bronx;
e) mailed to other Title VII programs in the United States;
f) mailed to all parents of Title VII students.
3) Visits into the community

On October 16, 1979 the Resource Teacher attended the unveiling and presentation of "The Rising of the Puerto Rican Sun", a sculpture by the Puerto Rican artist Rafael Ferrer: located in the heart of the South Bronx.

## VI. FINDINGS

## Assessment Procedures and Firdings

The-following section presents the assessmen't instruments and procedures, and the results of the testing.

## Assessment Procedures and Instruments

Students were assessed in English lasguage development, growth in their mastery of their native lanquage, mathematics, social studies and science. The following are the areas assessed and the instruments used:

| English as a Second Language | Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reading in English | -- New York City Reading Test |
| Reading in Spanish | - CIA Prueba de Lectura |
| Mathematics Achievement | -- New York City Mathematics Test |
| Mathematics Performance | Teacher-Mȧde Tests |
| Science Performance | Teacher-Made Tests |
| Social Studies Performance | - Teacher-Made Tests |
| Attendance | Schuol and Program Records |

The following analyses were performed:
a) On pre/post standardized tests of English Reading, Native Language Reading and Mathematics, statistical and educational significance are reported:

1) Statistical Significance was determined through the application of the correlated t-test nodel. This statistical analysis demonstrates whether the difference between pre-test and posttest mean scores is larger than would be expected by chance
variation alone; i.e. is statistically significant.

This analysis does not represent an estimate of how students would have performed in the absence of the program. No such estimate could be made because of the inapolicability of test norms for this"population, and the unavailability of an appropriate comparison group.
2) Educational Significance was determined for each grade level by calculating an "effect size" based on observed summary statistics using the procedure recormended by Cohen. ${ }^{1}$

An effect size for the correlated t-test model is an estimate of the difference between pre-test.and post-test means expressed in standard deviation units freed of the influence of sample size. It became desirable to establish such an estimate because substantial differences that do exist frequently fail to reach statistical significance if the number of observations for each unit of statistical analysis is small. Similarly, statistically sionificant differences often are not educationally meaningful.

[^2]Thus, statistical and educational significance permit a more meaningful appraisal of project outcomes. As a rule of thumb, the following effect size indices are recormended by Cohen as guides to interpreting educational significance (ES):
a difference of $1 / 5=.20=$ small ES
a difference of $1 / 2=.50=$ medium ES
a difference of $4 / 5=.80=$ large ES
b) On the Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST) information is provided on the number of objectives attempted and mastered, the percentage of objectives mastered versus those attempted, and the number of objectives mastered per month of treatment. Information is also provided on student performance on the various test levels. c) The results of the criterion referenced tests in mathematics, social studies, science and native language arts are reported in terms. of the number and percent of students achieving the criterion levels set for the participants ( $60 \%$ passing).
d) Information is provided on the attendance rate of students participating in the bilingual program, compared with that of the total school population.

```
                                    #
```

The following pages present student achievement in tabular form.

## TABLE IX

English as a Second Language
FALL
Resuits of the Criterion Referenced English Suntax Test (CREST) Reporting the Number of Objectives Mastered, Percent Mastered, and Objectives Mastered Per Month.-

| Grade | \# of Students | Average ${ }^{\frac{\pi}{F}}$ of Objectives Attempted | Average $\frac{4}{\pi}$ of Objectives Mastered | $\%$ <br> :Aastered/ <br> Attempted | Average Months of Treatment * | Objectives Mastered Per Month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 33 | 10.7 | 5.1 | -48\% | 3.0 | 1.7 |
| 10 | 22 | 8.4 | 3.3 | 39\% | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| 11 | 6 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 22\% | 2.9 | . 6 |
| 12 | 5 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 22\% | 3.0 | . 7. |


| Totals | 66 | 9.6 | 4.0 | $41 \%$ | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* Instructional tine between pre- and post-testing

On the average, students tested in the Fall with the CREST attempted from 8 objectives in grades 10 and 11 to 11 objectives in grade 9. They mastered from 2 objectives in grades 11 and 12 to 5 objectives in grade 9 regardless of test level. When mastery rates are in units of instructional time, it was observed that on the average,students in grades 11 and 12 mastered .6 and .7 objectives respectively per month and 9 th and 10 th grade students mastered more than 1 objective per month. It should be noted that the number of students in the upper grades are small, and the results ray represent the effects of a selection factor.

English as a Second Language
FALL

Student Performance on the
Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST)
A'Breakdom by Test Level and Grade.

LEVEL 1
1 of Percent Percent Percent

Grade Students Attempted Mastered Mastered Attenpted Mastered Mastered Attempted Mastered Mastered

| 9 | 33 | 189 | 63 | $33 \%$ | 124 | 84 | $68 \%$ | 39 | 22 | $56 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 22 | 80 | 22 | $28 \%$ | 49 | 30 | $61 \%$ | 55 | 20 | $36 \%$ |
| 11 | 6 | 29 | 1 | $3 \%$ | 6 | 6 | $100 \%$ | 15 | 4 | $27 \%$ |
| 12 | 5 | 31 | 6 | $19 \%$ | - | - | - | 18 | 5 | $28 \%$ |



The analysis by grade and test level indicates that students worked on test levels of the CREST in Fall in direct relation to their grade level. Overall, students succeeded most on the intermediate level
$\therefore \quad$ (Level II). Success on levels I and III was quite variable in the four grade lerels. Most students experienced more difficulty in mastering English language objectives on Level I.

## English-as a Second Language

SPRING

Results of the Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST)
Reporting the Number of Objectives Mastered, Percent Mastered, and Dbjectives Mastered Per.Month.

| Grade | - \# of Students | Average $\frac{A}{\pi}$ of Objectives Attempted | Average $\frac{n}{\pi}$ of Objectives Mastered | $\%$ <br> Mastered/ Attempted | Average Months of Treatment * | Objectives Mastered Per Month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 9 | 19 i | 8.2 | 4.2 | 51\% | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| 10 | 11. | 8.6 | 3.5 | 41\% | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| 11 | 4 | C. 5 | 3.0 | 46\% | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| 12 | 4 | 11.3 | 3.5 | 31,\% | 1.8 | 1.9 |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |
| Totals | 38 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 45\% | 2.5 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | $i$ |  |  |  |

[^3]On the average, students tested in the Spring with the CREST showed an improvement in test performance with respect to the rate of growth. Students in all grades mastered at least one English language objective per month of instruction, with acnievement ranging from a low of 1.0 objectives per moṇth at the eleventh grade to 1.9 objectives per month at the twelfth grade.

## TABELE XII

English as a Second Language
SPRING

Student Performance on the
Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST)
A Breakdom by Test Level and Grade.

LEVEL I
LEVE II
LEVEL III

## 1 of

| 9 | 19 | 130 | 64 | $49 \%$ | 8 | 3 | $38 \%$ | 18 | 13 | $72 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 11 | 64 | 23 | $36 \%$ | 21 | 8 | $38 \%$ | 10 | 8 | $80 \%$ |
| 11 | 4 | 12 | 2 | $17 \%$ | - | - | - | 14 | 10 | $71 \%$ |
| 12 | 4 | 45 | 14 | $31 \%$ | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Totals | 38 | 251 | 193 | $41 \%$ | 29 | -1 | $38 \%$ | 42 | 31 | $74 \%$ |

The analysis by grade and test level of the results in the Spring testing with the CREST indicates that test level performance was again related to grade level. Overall, students succeeded more at the upper level of the test (Level III). On this level, students mastered nore than $70 \%$ of the attempted objectives.

## TABLE XIII

## English Reading Achievement

Significance of Mean Total Raw Score Difference Between Initial and
Final Test Scores in English Language Achievement of Students with Full Instructional Treatment on the New York City Reading Test

Pre-Test

Standard Grade Nean Deviation

$10 \quad 25$

| 11 | 8 | 37.6 | 7.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$12 \div 4 \quad 38.0 \cdots 16.1$
$24.2 \quad 10.5$
30.4. 12.0
6.2
.84
4.81 .001 .96
$-3.7$
3.3
$41.3 \quad 5.1$
Standard
Mean Deviation
Mean
Difference
Corr.
Pre-
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Post } & \underline{t} & \underline{P} & \underline{t S} \\ .92 & 2.03 & .05 & .83\end{array}$
. 78 -1.7 NS --

خ

Table XIII presents achievement data for students on the New York City Reading Test. Students in grades 9 and 10 showed raw score gains of 6 raw score points while 12th grade students showed gains of 3 raw score points. The gains for students in grades 9 and 10 were statistically significant at the .05 and .001 significance level respectively. Students in grade 11 showed a nonsignificant mean decrease from pre-test to post-test performance. The gains for students in grades 9 and 10, when expressed in standard deviation units were judged to be of large educational significance, and the achievement gains for 12th grade students were of small to moderate educational significance. Results for students in grades 9 and 10 snould be interpreted with extreme

## TABLE XIII (continued)

caution. Observed raw score means are borderline probable chance scores (within two standard errors of an:expected chance mean). This suggests low precision of measurement within a large score range of the sample. The instrument was in all likelihood too difficult for students at these grade levels, thereby inviting widespread guessing. Results for grade 11 are difficult to interpret given a small sample size ( $n=8$ ). Grade 12 students (sample size of 4) showed small to medium educationally significant gains.

$$
5:
$$

## TABLE XIV

## Native Language Reading Achievement

Sianificance of Mean Total Raw. Score Differences Between Initial and Final Test Scores in Native Language Reading Achievement of Students with Full Instructional Treatment by Test Level on the CIA Prueba de Lectura.

Pre-Test

Standard
Test tevel $:-\quad$ Mean Deviation 237 $4^{\prime \prime} \quad 24: 34.9^{\circ}$ 23.32 .8 . 15.6 $42.6 \quad 18.8$
18.3

Post-Test
Standard Mean

$46.7 \quad 24.9$
35.9 . 19.3
11.8
.84
4.22 .001 .86
$\therefore \quad 3.1$

Table XIV presents achievement da for students on the CIA Prueba de Lectura. Students tested on Lével 2 showed raw score gains of 9 raw score points while students tested on Level 4 showed gains of 12 raw score points. Students tested on Level 5 showed a gain of 3 raw score points. The gains for students on Levels 2 and 4 were statistically significant at the .001 significance level. Students tested with"Level 5 did not make gains which reached statistical significance at the . 05 probability leve!. The gains "for students tested on Levels 2 and 4, when expressed in standard deviation units are judged to be of moderate and large educational sianificance respectively. The achievement ọains for students tested on Level 5 were of small educational significance. Stu-

## TABLE XIV <br> (continued)

dents tested on Level 2 and 4 showed statistically and educationall; significant gains. Students tested on Level 5 did not make statistically significant gains, but the observed $\therefore$ gain of 3 points was judged to be educationally significant.


80

## TABLE XV

## Mathematics Achievement

Significance of Mean Total Raw Score Differences Between Initial and Final Test Scores in Mathematics Achievement of Students with Full Instructional Treatment on the New York City Mathematics Test


Table XV presents achievement data for students on the N.I.C. Mathematics Test. Students in grade 9 showed a raw score gain of 3 raw score doints. The gains for students. in grade 9 was státistically significant at the .0025 significance level. Students in grades 10 through 12 did not make gairis that reached statistical sianificance at the .05 probability level. Students in the 17 th grade showed a nonsignificant decrease. The gains for students in grade 9 when expressed in standard deviation units vere judged to be of moderate to large educational significance, and the achievement gains for 12 th grade students were of small educationa?
significance. However, the above data should be interpreted with extreme caution. At'each grade

## TABLE XV

(continued)

Tevel, raw score means were at close to probable chance score levels (within 2 standard errors of the expected chance score). This suggests the instrument was rather difficult for this group and with low reliability of measurement.

5

## TABLE XVI

## Mathematics Performance

$\because$ Number and Percent of Students Passing Teacher-Made Examinations in Mathematics.

| GRATDE | $N$. | iumber Passing | Percent Passine | N | Number Passing | Percent <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 \because$ | 27 | 13 | 48\% | .28 | 21 | 75\% |
| 10 | 1 47 | $? 3$ | 49\% | 43 | 21 | 49\% |
| 11 | 19 | 13 | 68\% | 13 | 7 | 54\% |
| 12 | 14 | 13 | $93 \%$ | 7 | 6 | 86\% |

In the Fall term, the percentage of students passing teacher-made " examinations in Mathematics ranged from $48 \%$ in grade 9 to $93 \%$ in grade 12. In Spring, the percent mastering the curriculum ranged from $49 \%$ in grade 10 to $86 \%$ in grade 12.

## TABLE XVII

## Science Performance

Number and Percent of Students Passing Teacher-Made
Examinations in Science


In the fall term, the percentage of students passing teacher-made examinations in Science ranged from $76 \%$ in grade 10 to $100 \%$ in grades 11 and 12. In Spring:, the percent mastering the curriculum ranged from 7\%\% in grade" 10 to $89 \%$ in grade 12 :

## TABLE XVIII

Social Studies Performance
Number and Percent of Students Passing Teacher-Made
Examinations in Social Studies:


In the Fall term, the percentage of students passing teacher-made examinations in Social Studies ranged from $91 \%$ in grade 9 to $96 \%$ in grade 11. In Spring, the percent mastering the curriculum ranged from $75 \%$ in grade 9 to $84 \%$ in grade 11.

## TABLE XIX

## Attendance Rates

Number and Percent of Students Surpassing the General School Attendance Rate, Reporting the Program Attendance Rate and Standard Deviation

| Grade | No. of Students | Average Attendance | Standard Deviation | Number Surpassing Rate | \%- Exceedina School Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 55 | 78.4\% | 22.0 | $39^{*}$ | 71\% |
| 10 | 83 | 72.6\% | 25.3 | 60 | $\because 72 \%$ |
| 11 | 23 | 86.7\% | 10.0 | 21 | 97\% |
| 12 | 20 | 89.1\% | 8.4 | 18 | 90\% |

The average attendance rate ranged from 73 percent for 10 th grade. students to $89 \%$ for 12 th graders. The percent of students exceeding the schoolwide attendance rates ranged from $71 \%$ in grade 9 to $97 \%$ in grade 11. Thus, the program students attended school at average: rates far in excess of non-program students which suggests high ?evels of motivation among program students at all grade levels.
VII. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Students in the DeWitt Clinton High School Bilingual Program were assessed" in the areas of English as a Second Language, reading in English and Spanish; mathematics achievement and mathematics, science and socłal studies performance. Also, information on the attendance rate of students was presented. The findings for the 1979-1980 school year show:

1) The number of objectives mastered per month in English as a Sécond Language in the CREST improved from fall testing to spring testing. By the spring, students in all grades mastered at least one objective per month of ESL instruction.
2) Results in English reading achievement were varied and difficult to interpret. There were positive significant gains in two grades, but the New York City Reading Test was deemed too difficult for some students, and thus invited widespread guessing. - -
3) Results in Spanish reading achievement showed students making positive gains from pre to post testing on all three test levels. Two of the gains were statistically significant. All gaiṇswere judged to have some educational significance.
4) Results in mathematics achievement on the New York City Mathematics Test showed students making postive gains from pre to post-testing in two grades. One of these gains was statistically significant, two of the mean differences were not positive. The data from this test should be
interpreted with caution. The instrument used appears to have been too difficult for this group and could have resulted in low reliability of measurement.
5). The student performance in teacher-made tests in mathematics was beṣt in the 12th grade: $93 \%$ mastery in the fall and $86 \%$ ‘ in the spring. The same held for science: complete class mastery in the fall and $89 \%$ mastery in the spring. For social studies, 11 th graders performed the best: $96 \%$ mastery ' in the fall and $84 \%$ in the spring.
5) At least two thirds of the students in the program showed. better attendance rates than the school rate. Over $90 \%$ of students in 11 th and 12 th grades showed better attendance 4. rates than the school rate.

## VIII. CONCLIUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In gerieral, this project was implemented as proposed. There were some diffizulties during the beginning of the school year in procuring and maintaining the needed bilingual staff. Still, the activities carried aut by the bitingual staff and their impact show improvement over the previous year.

This year the bilingual program was more favorably regarded by other teachers and administriators in the school and from some other schools. Some of the satient characteristics of the program which should be highlighted are: the peer tutoring program, the cultural activities; the counseling and referrat services; the improvement of parental involvement and the affective educational approach with students. These are among the factors which resulted in students in the bilingual program faring better than schoolwide figures on attendance; drop-out and absenteeism rates, and awards and honors. Students and parental support for the program is evidenced by the high number of students eligible for full mainstreaming who requested to remain affiliated with the program to some extent anc to participate in their supportive services and activities.

The bilingual program in DeWitt Clinton has expanded and thus it is hoped that school officials will give it the attention, institutional support and resources it deserves in order to continue growing. Specifically, it is recomended that permanent staff positions be .secured to include, min:mally, a project director, resource specialist, family assistant, grade advisor, secretary, and paraprofessionais.

With a full staff, the project director should be able to delegate responsibilities to others, to be better able to concentrate her energies on the supervision and administration of the program.

Alternate funding services should be explored to complement the existing ones, as was done with the tutoring program,

The application of the New York City Reading Test and the New York. City Mathematics Test yielded results which suggest that the instruments were too difficult for the population served. This may be due to the 7 limited English proficiency of the students. It is recommended that the performance of these instruments be reviewed to. determine their curricular relevance and linguistic appropriateness. Such a review may result in a decision to modify the present assessment program.

Finally, it is recommended $\because$ inst the areas of career and vocational education be explored for emphas is in future years.


[^0]:    * Modified taking in account students' English proficiency levels

[^1]:    *("Historical Study of The Minorities Who Built The United States".)

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jacob Cohen. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (Revised Edition). New York: Academic Press, 1977 Chapter 2.

[^3]:    * Instructional time between pre- and post-testing

